

NOV 21 2007

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EVA ARCE DE RUIZ; NICOLAS ARCE
SALAS; FREDY ARCE RUIZ; JOSE
MANUEL ARCE,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 03-74722

Agency Nos. A75-669-217
A75-669-218
A75-669-219
A75-669-220

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 13, 2007**

Before: TROTT, W. FLETCHER, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Eva Arce de Ruiz and Nicolas Arce Salas, and their children Fredy Arce
Ruiz and Jose Manuel Arce, petition for review of an order of the Board of

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) vacating an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision granting the parents’ application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA’s discretionary determination that de Ruiz and Arce Salas failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003). Contrary to the petitioners’ contention, the BIA’s interpretation of the hardship standard falls within the broad range authorized by the statute. *See Ramirez-Perez v. Ashcroft*, 336 F.3d 1001, 1004-1006 (9th Cir. 2003).

Fredy Arce Ruiz and Jose Manuel Arce did not appeal from the IJ’s decision and we therefore lack jurisdiction to consider their contentions.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.